April 24th 2014

HIGHLITES OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION SESSION
HELD IN ROUYN-NORANDA, QUEBEC
FEBRUARY 26, 2014

Gold Bullion Development Corp.

Granada Property

experts en gestion
C.C. CONSULTANTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS 3
1 BACKGROUND 4
1.1 PLAN OF THE PRESENTATION FOR THE MEETING: 4
2 MAIN TOPICS OF INTEREST 5
2.1 GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER 5
2.2 TRAFFIC 7
2.3 NOISE AND VIBRATIONS 7
2.4 LAND USE 7
2.5 RISKS RELATED TO THE PROJECT 9
3 DEVELOPMENT APPROACH 10
4 FEEDBACK FROM PARTICIPANTS 11

1 BACKGROUND

The first public information session on the Granada project led by Gold Bullion Development Corp. was held on February 26th, 2014. During this meeting, residents living in the vicinity of the mining project were invited to meet with the president of Gold Bullion Development Corp. (GBB), Mr. Frank Basa, President and CEO, Mrs. Annemette Jorgensen, Director of Corporate Development for GBB, and Mr. Claude Duplessis, engineer from SGS-GoldMinds, the project leader in charge of the presentation. Consulting firm C.C. Consultants was also present to act as mediator. More than sixty invitations were sent to residents living on Avenue Granada and Rang Lavigne toward Bellecombe. More than twenty people accepted the invitation and attended the information session, which was essentially designed to gather questions and comments in order to adjust the development of the mining project, wherever possible, so as to reduce the related impacts.

1.1 PLAN OF THE PRESENTATION FOR THE MEETING:

- Presentation of the Company, Gold Bullion;
- Presentation of the Granada project;
- Main topics of interest;
- Information campaign;
- What comes next;
- Question period.

2 MAIN TOPICS OF INTEREST

Throughout the information session, participants were invited to take part in the discussion, to obtain clarifications and answers to certain questions they might have about current and future activities taking place on the Granada project.

Questions from participants were mostly related to the following topics:

- Groundwater and surface water;
- Traffic;
- Noise and vibrations;
- Land use.

2.1 GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

QUESTIONS / POTENTIAL ISSUES	POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS
Drinking water ➢ Arsenic already naturally present in the water, derived from the geological formations at Granada. ➢ Arsenic in the water that could partly be derived from historical activities at the former Granada project (1935). ➢ Why proceed with well analyses? ➢ Changes in the water level of wells? ➢ Water from a municipal aqueduct?	➢ Water analyses will serve to determine the quality of water in the area prior to launching work programs. ➢ Sampling will be done by a representative from Gold Bullion/SGS and a representative from Roche, and analyses will be performed at MultiLab ➢ Gold Bullion is open to paying for water treatment systems for citizens whose water quality already poses a health risk, for those who participate in the voluntary sampling program. ➢ The population will be informed of well analysis procedures (frequency, etc.) and their results. These analyses will enable both Gold Bullion and citizens to know more about water quality before GBB begins development.

	➢ Analyses of diverted waters prior to discharge in the environment. Water will be controlled and chemically treated in a settling pond, and its quality will meet the criteria of Directive 019 and the Environment Quality Act.
Water use and impacts on mining activities	➢ Explain to the population how Gold Bullion will prevent water from entering its operations; ➢ Amount of drinking water that will be used during operations/activities at the mine.
Potential ground problems ➢ Ground settlement under the foundations of houses could lead to damages due to a possible lowering of the water table.	➢ Study of ground settlement in inhabited areas with possible lowering of the water table, in addition to inspections of external foundations prior to the start of pumping operations.
Impact of exploration work on groundwater	➢ Exploration holes very rarely disturb groundwater circulation. ➢ Check drainage ditches in Rang Lavigne, in collaboration with the municipality.

2.2 TRAFFIC

QUESTIONS/ POTENTIAL ISSUES	POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS
Traffic on roads in the village	➢ Will depend on the selected ore processing option; ➢ Schedule for haulage trucks
Condition of roads in the village	➢ Negotiations underway with the City of Rouyn-Noranda

2.3 NOISE AND VIBRATIONS

QUESTIONS / POTENTIAL ISSUES	POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS
Mine production capacity ➢ Tonnage ➢ Transportation - noise	➢ Schedule for haulage trucks
Blasting and various noise issues (human activities, etc.)	➢ What will be the frequency of blasting operations, sequences, and schedules? ➢ What type of work will likely generate noise?

2.4 LAND USE

QUESTIONS / POTENTIAL ISSUES	ANSWERS / PROPOSED SOLUTIONS
Which streets will mainly be affected by the Granada project?	➢ Possible clarification of specific areas to be affected, for example along Rang Lavigne East.
The current and future tailings pond ➢ Its composition ➢ Its future ➢ Its size ➢ Its location	➢ Explanations on how tailings ponds were managed 20 years ago and how they are managed today. ➢ What is the composition of the current tailings pond?

	➢ Explanations on how Gold Bullion will assume responsibility for the pit when operations begin. ➢ Explanations on where the future tailings pond will be located and the reasons why Gold Bullion selected this location (the former tailings pond is currently located in wetlands and this type of location is no longer acceptable for tailings ponds). ➢ Explanations on the future of the tailings pond after the end of mining operations.
Size of the mine	Establish comparisons with an existing mine.
The differences between an underground mine and an open pit and their impacts: ➢ Ecological ➢ Human ➢ In terms of production ➢ On wildlife	Describe the mining project in greater detail and inform the population on the differences between the two types of mines and their related environmental impacts.
The visual aspect of the area after the end of operations	➢ Use of overburden (muck, peat, gravel, topsoil) for progressive restoration until the end of the project ➢ Nasca-type art rock-sculpture
Ore processing plant	➢ On site or custom milling. Communicate information as soon as it becomes available.
Recreational trails in the area	➢ Planned rerouting of ATV and snowmobile trails.

- The main streets affected by the Granada project;
- The mine life;
- The next steps planned by the mining company.

2.5 RISKS RELATED TO THE PROJECT

IDENTIFIED IMPACT	MITIGATION MEASURE
Traffic on roads in the village	➢ Alternate routes ➢ Schedule for haulage trucks ➢ Reduction during peak hours
Ground settlement	➢ Historical data ➢ Technical study ➢ Inspection of buildings
Water management	➢ Inventory of wells in the area ➢ Historical data ➢ Hydrogeological modelling ➢ Pumping tests ➢ Deepening of wells ➢ Water treatment system ➢ Municipal aqueduct
Vibrations	➢ Historical data ➢ Seismographs ➢ Tests and measurements ➢ Modified blasting schedule
Drilling noise	➢ Soundproofing caissons ➢ Modified summer schedule ➢ No drilling on weekends ➢ Supplier procedure ➢ Sustainable development bonus

3 DEVELOPMENT APPROACH

As new impacts were identified by participants during the public meeting, GBB will need to find ways to mitigate certain risks associated with the project by increasing the level of knowledge of stakeholders. CC Consultants recommends that GBB break down the identified risks and impacts into workshops or provide simplified technical information directly from experts from engineering firms already involved in the project.

A specific example is ground settlement. It is necessary to take the time to explain to participants the composition of soils within the affected area, obtain historical data, model the impacts, and respond to concerns with clear probabilities and preventive or corrective solutions proposed by the developer. These workshops will thus enable residents to become familiar with the topic and help avoid spreading false or misinterpreted technical data which would contribute in creating uncertainty among residents located near the project area. The consultant recommends the following workshops:

- Water and related impacts
- Vibrations
- Traffic

Gold Bullion Development Corp. should also consider expanding its affected area. Even people living within the village of Granada and in areas farther away from the project may be subjected to certain impacts and thus take an interest in the project. However, expanding the scope of discussions may also lead to the identification of new impacts and new mitigation measures. Social acceptability is an ongoing process that is never entirely and totally completed. The developer must therefore try to adjust its activities to take into account the concerns and preoccupations of interested communities.

4 FEEDBACK FROM PARTICIPANTS

- **Chantal Coswarem – Resident on Rang Lavigne Ouest**

  Phone call received at 10:15 on March 3, 2014

  "I really appreciated the meeting even though I had to leave before the end. It is very interesting to realize what wealth lies in the ground in this location. It would be interesting to show a map of existing underground workings. People don't realize there used to be a mine underneath their property. I think it would be really interesting to clearly demonstrate this."